## EXHIBIT 1

Case 2:23-cy-05083 Document 1-1 Filed 06/27/23 Page 2 of 7 Page ID #:9 Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 91/09/2023 01:45:00 PM: 30-2022-01275694-CU-PO-NJC - ROA # 8 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By A. Gill, Deputy Clerk.

		SUM-10				
	SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)				
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO WALMART, INC.; and DOES	O):					
YOU ARE BEING SUED I (LO ESTÁ DEMANDAND MARK REMME						
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.  You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.  There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California C						
The name and address of the (El nombre y dirección de la c	court is: Judge Glenn Salter corte es): North Justice Center	CASE NUMBER: (Número dal Caso): 30-2022-01275694-CU-PO-NJC				
1275 N. Berkeley Avenue, Fu	llerton, California 92832	50-2022-01275054-00-1 0-190				
(El nombre, la dirección y el n S. Sean Bral, Esq., Bral & Ass DATE:	chone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff wit umero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, sociates, 1310 Westwood Boulevard, Los Angele Clerk, by	e, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): es, CA. 90024, (310) 789-2007				
(Fecha)	/ID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court (Secretario	(Adjunto)				
	mmons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form sta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of					
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictition. 3 on behalf of (specify): WALWAR under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	ous name of (specify):  COP 416.60 (minor)  ration) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)				
	CCP 416.40 (association or	partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)				

4.

other (specify):

by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1

1									
2	S. SEAN BRAL, ESQ. 190489 RICHARD POURGOL, ESQ. 250236 1310 WESTWOOD BOULEVARD								
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 Phn: (310)789-2007 Fax:(310)789-2006								
4									
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff,								
6	MARK REMME								
7									
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
9	COUNTY OF ORANGE, NORTH JUSTICE CENTER								
10	COOTILE OF GRANGES HORTH GEOTICA CINTER								
11	MARK REMME, ) Case No.:								
12	) 30-2022-01275694-CU-PO-NJC  Plaintiff, )								
13	vs. ) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR								
14	WALMART, INC.; and DOES 1 through ) DAMAGES 20, inclusive.								
15	) 1. NEGLIGENCE\PREMISE  Defendants. ) LIABILITY								
16	BIBLIT								
17									
18	Plaintiff alleges:								
19	1. Plaintiff, MARK REMME ("Plaintiff"), is and at all times relevant herein was, an individual								
20									
21	residing within the County of Orange, and the State of California.  2. Plaintiff, MARK REMME, is informed and believes and thereupon alleges, that <i>Defendant</i> WALMART, INC., and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are, and at all relevant times herein								
22									
23	walkiaki, inc., and DOES I through 20, inclusive, are, and at all relevant times herein were, business entities formed and operating within the State of California, and operating								
24	and doing business within the County of Orange.								
25	and doing ousmoss which the County of Orange.								
26									
27	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES								
28	1								

- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint, in order to show the true names and capacities thereof when such true names and capacities have been ascertained.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereupon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is responsible, negligently or in some other manner, for the events and happenings herein referred to, and thereby proximately caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged.
- 5. Defendants at all times mentioned herein were the agents, servants, employers, employees, partners, members, shareholders, officers, directors, joint venturers, and *alter egos* of each other, and in doing or failing to do the things hereinafter mentioned were acting within the purpose and scope of her agency and employment and with the knowledge and consent of each other and with such a unity of interests between each other and with the individual owners of each corporate or government entity, that any corporate or government shield or fictitious entity should be disregarded.
- 6. As used herein, the term "Defendants" means all Defendants, both jointly and severally, and references by name to any named Defendant shall include all Defendants, including but not limited to DOE Defendants, both jointly and severally.
- 7. Each and all of the acts, events and injuries alleged hereinafter, took place and were sustained on or about August 18, 2020 on or about 8:10 a.m.
- 8. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, owned, maintained, controlled, managed, supervised and or operated the property located at or near 2595 Imperial Highway, Brea, California 92821 ("Premises").
- 9. At the aforementioned time and place, Defendants so negligently maintained, controlled, managed, operated, inspected, and/or supervised said Premises as to prevent foreseeable

- users, invitees, or residents, such as Plaintiff, from being exposed to perilous and unsafe conditions, inclusive without limitation, wet floors with a puddle of water or liquid, causing the Plaintiff to fall and thereby sustain the hereinafter-described injuries and damages.

  Defendants knew, or in exercise of reasonable care should have known, that such actions
- 10. Defendants knew, or in exercise of reasonable care should have known, that such actions and omissions constituted a dangerous and an unreasonable risk of harm of which Plaintiff at all times was unaware of.
- 11. Defendants, and each of them, negligently failed to take steps to either make the condition safe or warn Plaintiff and others of the dangerous condition, thereby causing the hereinafter-described injuries and damages to the Plaintiff.
- 12. As the direct and proximate result of the negligence of the above-named Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, Plaintiff was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining serious injuries to his body and suffering severe shock and injuries to his nervous system and person, all of which injuries have caused, and continue to cause, Plaintiff great mental, physical, psychological and nervous pain and suffering, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 13. As a further and direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, Plaintiff was required to and did incur medical and incidental expenses thereby. The exact amount of such medical and incidental expenses is presently unknown to Plaintiff and Plaintiff will therefore seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint, in order to set forth the exact amount of such medical and incidental expenses, when such exact amount has been ascertained.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges, that as the direct result and proximate cause of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, Plaintiff will, for a period to time in the future, be required to employ physicians and incur additional medical and incidental expenses thereby. The exact amount of such expenses is presently

- unknown to Plaintiff and Plaintiff therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint, in order to set forth the exact amount thereof, when such exact amount has been ascertained.
- 15. At the time of the above-mentioned incident, Plaintiff was gainfully employed. As a further and direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was unable to attend to her usual employment and has lost income. The exact amount of such damages is presently unknown to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint, in order to set forth the exact amount thereof, when such exact amount has been ascertained.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges, that as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff will, for a period of time in the future, be unable to attend to, and\or obtain, gainful employment, or that her ability to obtain gainful employment is diminished and\or her earning capacity has been diminished. The exact amount of such losses is presently unknown to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint, in order to set forth the exact amount thereof, when such exact amount has been ascertained.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, as follows:

- General damages, according to proof and in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court;
- 2. Medical and incidental expenses, according to proof;
- 3. All other special and incidental damages, according to proof;
- 4. Loss of earnings, according to proof;
- 5. Loss of earning capacity, according to proof;
- 6. Pre-judgement interest according to proof;

1	7.	Costs of suit incurred he	erein;				
2	8.	Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.					
3					•		
4	DAT	DATED: January 10, 2023			BRAL & ASSOCIATES		
5				S San I	Rnal		
6				S. Sean Branch Sea	il		
7				Attorneys for Pl MARK REMM	E		
8							
9							
10		•					
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24	1						
25							
26							
27		FIRST AMENDE	D COMPLA	INT FOR DAMA	AGES		
28	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES						